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The American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons (ASCRS) is dedicated to ensuring high-quality patient care by advancing the science and prevention and management of disorders and diseases of the colon, rectum, and anus. The Clinical Practice Guidelines Committee is composed of ASCRS members who are chosen because they have demonstrated expertise in the specialty of colon and rectal surgery. This committee was created to lead international efforts in defining quality care for conditions related to the colon, rectum, and anus and develop clinical practice guidelines based on the best available evidence. Although not proscriptive, these guidelines provide information on which decisions can be made and do not dictate a specific form of treatment. These guidelines are intended for the use of all practitioners, health care workers, and patients who desire information on the management of the conditions addressed by the topics covered in these guidelines. These guidelines should not be deemed inclusive of all proper methods of care nor exclusive of methods of care reasonably directed toward obtaining the same results. The ultimate judgment regarding the propriety of any specific procedure must be made by the physician considering all the circumstances presented by the individual patient.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

A generally accepted explanation for the cause of anorectal abscess and fistula-in-ano is that an abscess results from obstruction of an anal gland and that a fistula is caused by chronic infection and epithelialization of the abscess drainage tract. Anorectal abscesses are described by the anatomic space in which they develop; ischiorectal (also called ischioanal) abscesses are the most common followed by intersphincteric, supralevator, and submucosal locations. Anorectal abscess occurs more often in males than females, and although an abscess may develop at any age, the peak incidence is among 20- to 40-year-olds.
In general, an abscess is treated with prompt incision and drainage. The diagnosis and treatment of necrotizing soft tissue infections and Fournier’s gangrene are beyond the scope of this guideline.

Fistula-in-ano is an epithelialized tract that connects the perianal skin with the anal canal. In patients with an anorectal abscess, 30% to 70% present with a concomitant fistula-in-ano, and, of those who do not, approximately 30% to 50% will ultimately be diagnosed with a fistula in the months to years after abscess drainage. Although an anorectal abscess is described by the anatomic space in which it forms, a fistula-in-ano is classified in terms of its relationship with the internal and external anal sphincters (eg, the Parks classification; Table 1). In general, intersphincteric and transsphincteric fistulas are more frequently encountered than suprasphincteric, extrasphincteric, and submucosal tract locations. Anal fistulas may also be classified as “simple” or “complex.” Complex anal fistulas include transsphincteric fistulas that involve greater than 30% of the external sphincter, suprasphincteric, extrasphincteric, or horseshoe fistulas and anal fistulas associated with IBD, radiation, malignancy, preexisting fecal incontinence, or chronic diarrhea. Recurrent or branching fistulas may also be described as complex. Given the attenuated nature of the anterior sphincter in women, anterior fistulas deserve special consideration and may also be considered complex. Simple anal fistulas have none of these complex features and, in general, include intersphincteric and low transsphincteric fistulas that involve less than 30% of the external sphincter.

Distinct from cryptoglandular processes, anorectal abscess and fistula-in-ano can be manifestations of Crohn’s disease. Among patients with Crohn’s disease, fistula-in-ano has an incidence rate of 10% to 20% in population-based studies and 50% in longitudinal studies; meanwhile, nearly 80% of patients with Crohn’s disease who were cared for at tertiary referral centers may have a history of fistula-in-ano. In Crohn’s disease, anorectal abscesses and fistulas seem to result from penetrating inflammation rather than from infection of an anorectal gland. Patients with fistulas related to Crohn’s disease are typically managed with a multidisciplinary approach.

Rectovaginal fistulas (RVFs), a unique subset of fistulas in many respects, may be classified as “low,” with a tract between the distal anal canal (at or below the dentate line) and the inside of the posterior fourchette; “high,” with a tract connecting the upper vagina (at the level of the cervix) with the rectum; and “middle” with a tract that lies in between these levels. The terms “anovaginal fistula” and “low rectovaginal fistula” may be used interchangeably. RVFs may also be classified as “simple” or “complex.” Simple RVFs have a low, small-diameter (<2 cm) communication between the anal canal and vagina and typically result from obstetrical injury or infection. “Complex” RVFs involve a higher tract between the rectum and vagina, are of a larger diameter, or result from radiation, cancer, or complications of pelvic surgical procedures. RVFs most commonly occur as a result of obstetric injury but may also occur in the setting of Crohn’s disease, malignancy, or infection, or as a complication of a failed colorectal anastomosis, anorectal operation, or radiation therapy.

The surgical treatment of a particular fistula is influenced by the patient’s presenting symptoms, unique anatomy of the fistula tract, quality of the surrounding tissues, and previous attempts at fistula repair. This guideline addresses the management of cryptoglandular fistulas, RVFs, and anorectal fistulas in the setting of Crohn’s disease.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

These guidelines were built on the last clinical practice guidelines for the management of anorectal abscess and fistula-in-ano published in 2016. An organized search was performed of MEDLINE, PubMed, Embase, and the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews between December 1, 2015, and November 5, 2021. Key word combinations using MeSH terms included abscess, fistula, fistula-in-ano, anal, rectal, perianal, perineal, rectovaginal, anovaginal, seton, fistulotomy, stem cell, advancement flap, ligation of intersphincteric fistula tract (LIFT), fistula plug, fistula glue, video-assisted anal fistula treatment (VAAFT), fistula laser closure (FiLaC), over-the-scope clip (OTSC) device, and Crohn’s disease. The search was restricted to English-language articles and studies of adult patients. Directed searches using embedded references from primary articles were performed in selected circumstances, and other sources including practice guidelines and consensus statements from relevant societies were also reviewed. The 841 screened articles were evaluated for...
their level of evidence, favoring clinical trials, meta-analysis/systematic reviews, comparative studies, and large registry retrospective studies during single-institutional series, retrospective reviews, and peer-reviewed, observational studies. A final list of 269 sources was evaluated for methodologic quality, the evidence base was analyzed, and a treatment guideline was formulated by the subcommittee for this guideline (Fig. 1). The final grade of recommendation and level of evidence for each statement were determined using the Grades of Recommendation, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation system (Table 2). When the agreement was incomplete regarding the evidence base or treatment guideline, consensus from the committee chair, vice chair, and 2 assigned reviewers determined the outcome. Members of the ASCRS Clinical Practice Guidelines Committee worked in joint production of these guidelines from inception to final publication (Table 3). The entire Clinical Practice Guidelines Committee reviewed the recommendations formulated by the subcommittee. Final recommendations were approved by the ASCRS Executive Council. In general, each ASCRS Clinical Practice Guideline is updated every 5 years. No funding was received for preparing this guideline, and the authors have declared no competing interests related to this material. This guideline conforms to the Appraisal of Guidelines for Research and Evaluation checklist.

**Initial Evaluation of Anorectal Abscess and Fistula**

1. A disease-specific history and physical examination should be performed evaluating symptoms, relevant history, abscess and fistula location, and presence of secondary cellulitis. Grade of recommendation: strong recommendation based on low-quality evidence, 1C.

Anorectal abscess is usually diagnosed on the basis of a patient’s history and physical examination. Anorectal pain and swelling are common with superficial abscesses, whereas spontaneous drainage and fever occur less often.6,10,39,40 Deeper abscesses, including those in the supralever or high ischiorectal spaces, may present with pain referred to the perineum, lower back, or buttocks.6,39,40 Evaluation of the anus and perineum may reveal erythema, calor,
interphincteric or deeper abscesses,6,10,40,41 and digital be relatively unrevealing, particularly in patients with fluctuance, cellulitis, or tenderness on palpation or may confirm the presence of a fistula tract but should be done with care to avoid creating false tracts.43 Goodsall’s rule, posterior fistula has a curvilinear tract to the anus, has generally needed to clarify the diagnosis. The differential diagnosis of anorectal abscess may include fissure, hemorrhoid thrombosis, pilonidal disease, hidradenitis, anorectal neoplasia, Crohn’s disease, and sexually transmitted infections.6,42,43 Patients who present with anal fistula typically report intermittent anorectal swelling and drainage. Relevant information about baseline anorectal health, history of anorectal operations, family history of IBD, obstetric history, and associated GI, genitourinary, or gynecologic pathology should typically be included in the patient’s history. Inspection of the perineum should involve noting the specific findings of an abscess, surgical scars, anorectal deformities, signs of possible anorectal Crohn’s disease, and the presence of an external fistula opening. Gentle probing of an external opening, when tolerated, may help confirm the presence of a fistula tract but should be done with care to avoid creating false tracts.43 Goodsall’s rule, that an anterior fistula-in-ano has a radial tract and a posterior fistula has a curvilinear tract to the anus, has generally proven to be accurate for anterior fistulas but is less accurate in cases with a posterior fistula.44–47

2. Routine use of diagnostic imaging is not typically necessary for patients with anorectal abscess or fistula. However, imaging may be considered in selected patients with an occult anorectal abscess, recurrent or complex anal fistula, immunosuppression, or anorectal Crohn’s disease. Grade of recommendation: strong recommendation based on moderate-quality evidence, 1B.

In a retrospective study of 113 patients with anorectal abscess, the overall sensitivity of CT for detecting an abscess was 77% and the sensitivity of CT in immunosuppressed patients was 70%.48 An advantage of MRI over CT is its ability to identify anorectal abscesses and associated fistula tracts. In a study of 54 patients with anorectal Crohn’s disease, in which MRI and operative/clinical findings were compared, all the abscesses and 82% of the fistulas were correctly identified by MRI.49 In a 2014 prospective study of 50 patients with suspected anorectal fistula, MRI had a 95% sensitivity, 80% specificity, and 97% positive predictive value in detecting and grading the primary fistula tract.50 In a retrospective study of 136 patients specifically looking at the role of MRI in the preoperative assessment of fistula patients, Konan et al51 found that MRI identified “significant” findings defined as secondary (blind) tracts, horseshoe abscesses, or abscesses undiagnosed by physical

### TABLE 2. The GRADE system: grading recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Benefit versus risk and burdens</th>
<th>Methodologic quality of supporting evidence</th>
<th>Implications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Strong recommendation, high-quality evidence</td>
<td>Benefits clearly outweigh risks and burdens or vice versa</td>
<td>RCTs without important limitations or overwhelming evidence from observational studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>Strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence</td>
<td>Benefits clearly outweigh risks and burdens or vice versa</td>
<td>RCTs with important limitations (inconsistent results, methodologic flaws, indirect or imprecise) or exceptionally strong evidence from observational studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>Strong recommendation, low- or very-low quality evidence</td>
<td>Benefits clearly outweigh risks and burdens or vice versa</td>
<td>Observational studies or case series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Weak recommendation, high-quality evidence</td>
<td>Benefits closely balanced with risks and burdens</td>
<td>RCTs without important limitations or overwhelming evidence from observational studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Weak recommendation, moderate-quality evidence</td>
<td>Benefits closely balanced with risks and burdens</td>
<td>RCTs with important limitations (inconsistent results, methodologic flaws, indirect or imprecise) or exceptionally strong evidence from observational studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>Weak recommendation, low- or very-low quality evidence</td>
<td>Uncertainty in the estimates of benefits, risks, and burdens; benefits, risks, and burdens may be closely balanced</td>
<td>Observational studies or case series</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GRADE = Grades of Recommendation, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation; RCT = randomized controlled trial.**

Adapted from Guyatt et al.38 Used with permission.
TABLE 3. What is new in the 2022 ASCRS Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of anorectal abscess, fistula-in-ano, and rectovaginal fistula?

2022 New recommendations

11. Minimally invasive approaches to treat fistula-in-ano that use endoscopic or laser closure techniques have reasonable short-term healing rates but unknown long-term fistula healing and recurrence rates. Grade of recommendation: weak recommendation based on low-quality evidence, 2C.

19. Anorectal fistula associated with Crohn’s disease is typically managed with a combination of surgical and medical approaches. Grade of recommendation: strong recommendation based on moderate-quality evidence, 1B.

25. Local administration of mesenchymal stem cells is a safe and effective treatment for selected patients with refractory anorectal fistulas in the setting of Crohn’s disease. Grade of recommendation: weak recommendation based on moderate-quality evidence, 2B.

2022 Updated recommendations

5. Antibiotics should typically be reserved for patients with an anorectal abscess complicated by cellulitis, systemic signs of infection, or underlying immunosuppression. Grade of recommendation: weak recommendation based on moderate-quality evidence, 2C→2B.

9. A cutting seton may be used selectively in the management of complex cryptoglandular anal fistulas. Grade of recommendation: strong recommendation based on low-quality evidence, 2B→2C.

10. The anal fistula plug and fibrin glue are relatively ineffective treatments for fistula-in-ano. Grade of recommendation: weak recommendations based on low-quality evidence, 2B→2C.

21. Draining setons are typically useful in the multimodality therapy of fistulizing anorectal Crohn’s disease and may be used for long-term disease control. Grade of recommendation: strong recommendation based upon moderate-quality evidence, 1C→1B.

22. Symptomatic, simple, low anal fistulas in carefully selected patients with Crohn’s disease may be treated by lay-open fistulotomy. Grade of recommendation: weak recommendation based on low-quality evidence, 1C→2C.

23. Endorectal advancement flaps and the LIFT procedure may be used to treat fistula-in-ano associated with Crohn’s disease. Grade of recommendation: strong recommendation based on moderate-quality evidence, 2B→1B.

ASCRS = American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons; LIFT = ligation of intersphincteric fistula tract.

examination or examination under anesthesia in 34% of patients. In this study, MRI provided significant findings more frequently for complex fistulas than for simple fistulas (54% vs 5%; p < 0.001). Additionally, the proportion of patients who had significant MRI contributions increased with increasing Parks grade (5% for grade 1; 48% for grade 2; 86% for grade 3; 87.5% for grade 4). A prospective trial published in 2019, including 126 patients, assessed the utility of 3-dimensional endoanal ultrasound (EAUS) and MRI in both simple (n = 68) and complex (n = 58) anal fistulas and reported comparable accuracy for the 2 modalities in cases of a simple fistula; however, MRI had significantly higher sensitivity evaluating secondary extensions in complex fistulas (97% vs 74%; p = 0.04).

Endoanal ultrasound, in 2 or 3 dimensions and with or without peroxide enhancement, may be useful in the management of patients with abscess or fistula, and studies demonstrate concordance between EAUS and operative findings in 73% to 100% of cases. Tatiphiachiva et al found that preoperative EAUS may help preserve anorectal function in patients undergoing anal fistula surgery. This study retrospectively evaluated pre- and postoperative Fecal Incontinence Severity Scores in 109 patients who underwent preoperative EAUS and in 230 patients without preoperative imaging and found significantly worse Fecal Incontinence Severity Scores in the group that did not undergo preoperative EAUS at a mean follow-up of 34 weeks. The potential added value of combining diagnostic modalities to enhance the accuracy of anal fistula assessment was exemplified in a 2001 blinded study of 34 patients with anorectal Crohn’s disease in which EAUS was accurate in 91% of patients, MRI was accurate in 87% of patients, and examination under anesthesia was accurate in 91% of patients, whereas 100% accuracy was achieved when any 2 techniques were combined.

The sensitivity, accuracy, and utility of transperineal ultrasound (TPUS), a noninvasive alternative to EAUS, have also been studied in patients with anorectal abscess, anoperineal fistulas, and RVFs. A prospective study of 23 patients with Crohn’s disease comparing the diagnostic accuracies of EAUS, TPUS, and MRI with operative findings found that the diagnostic accuracy of all 3 modalities was nearly identical. The authors concluded that TPUS might be considered first-line imaging because of its availability, low cost, and noninvasive nature, yet because of its operator dependency and lack of high-quality supporting data, this imaging technique has not gained widespread popularity.

Anorectal Abscess

3. Patients with acute anorectal abscess should be treated promptly with incision and drainage. Grade of recommendation: strong recommendation based on low-quality evidence, 1C.

The primary treatment of anorectal abscess remains surgical drainage. In general, the incision should be made large enough to provide adequate drainage while taking care not to injure the anal sphincter complex. The perianal incision should be kept as close as possible to the anal verge to minimize the length of a subsequent fistula tract should one develop. Alternatively, a surgical drain (eg, Pezzer, Malecot) can be placed into the abscess cavity if this provides adequate drainage, although this technique typically does not address loculations within an abscess cavity and generally omits primary fistulotomy.
Small comparative analyses have shown comparable efficacy and higher patient satisfaction with drain placement compared to incision and drainage.\textsuperscript{55-67} Once an abscess has been drained, randomized trials report equivalent or superior abscess resolution rates with less pain and faster healing in patients whose wounds were left unpacked.\textsuperscript{68,69}

After drainage, abscesses may recur in up to 44\% of patients, most often within 1 year of initial treatment.\textsuperscript{210,70} Inadequate drainage, the presence of loculations or a horseshoe-type abscess, and not performing a primary fistulotomy are risk factors for recurrent abscess (primary fistulotomy is further addressed in recommendation no. 4).\textsuperscript{10,71,72}

Abscess location generally determines whether a patient should have internal versus external drainage. Intersphincteric abscesses should typically be drained through the intersphincteric groove or into the anal canal via an internal sphincterotomy.\textsuperscript{69} Similarly, it is usually preferable to drain suprarelevator abscesses originating from the complicated extension of an intersphincteric abscess internally by incising the rectal wall to prevent fistula formation. Meanwhile, suprarelevator abscesses because of cephalad extension of an ischiorectal abscess should typically be drained externally through the perianal skin.\textsuperscript{16,71} These approaches to abscess drainage may help prevent complex fistula formation.

Abscesses that cross the midline (ie, horseshoe) can be challenging to manage. These abscesses most often involve the deep postanal space and extend laterally into the ischiorectal spaces.\textsuperscript{40,71} Under these circumstances, primary lay-open fistulotomy should typically be avoided because these fistulas tend to be transsphincteric. The Hanley procedure, a technique that drains the deep postanal space and uses counter incisions to address the ischiorectal space, is effective in the setting of a horseshoe abscess,\textsuperscript{4} although it may negatively impact anal sphincter function.\textsuperscript{40,71} A modified Hanley technique using a posterior midline partial sphincterotomy to unroof the postanal space plus seton placement has a high rate of abscess resolution and has been reported to better preserve anorectal function compared to other operative interventions.\textsuperscript{40,72,73}

4. Abscess drainage with concomitant fistulotomy may be performed in selected patients with simple anal fistulas. Grade of recommendation: weak recommendation based on moderate-quality evidence, 2B.

Although 30\% to 70\% of patients with anorectal abscesses present with a concomitant fistula-in-ano,\textsuperscript{10,11} the role of primary fistulotomy at the time of abscess drainage remains controversial. Although a fistulotomy may effectively address the offending crypt, edema and inflammation from the suppurative process may increase the risk of causing a false tract when probing a fistula and can make it difficult to accurately assess the anatomy, potentially causing the surgeon to underestimate the degree of sphincter involvement. Small, randomized studies evaluating primary fistulotomy have reported varied results with regard to fistula recurrence and fecal incontinence.\textsuperscript{12,74,75}

Schouten and van Vroonhoven,\textsuperscript{12} in a randomized controlled trial, found that of 36 patients treated with primary fistulectomy and partial internal sphincterotomy only 3\% had recurrence, whereas 39\% reported postoperative sphincter disturbance at a median follow-up of 42 months; meanwhile, of 34 patients treated with incision and drainage alone, 41\% had recurrence and 21\% reported postoperative functional disturbance. Bokhari and Lindsey,\textsuperscript{74} in a retrospective review of 128 patients treated with either fistulotomy or sphincter preservation, found that after treatment, major incontinence was significantly more common in patients who had a complex fistula (13\%) compared to those who had a simple fistula (5\%). A 2010 Cochrane review that included 479 patients pooled from 6 randomized controlled trials demonstrated that sphincter division (via fistulotomy or fistulectomy) at the time of incision and drainage was associated with a significantly decreased likelihood of abscess recurrence, persistence of fistula or abscess, or need for subsequent surgery (relative risk, 0.13; 95\% CI, 0.07–0.24) but an increased, albeit not statistically significant, incidence of continence disturbance at 1-year follow-up.\textsuperscript{75} Notably, the randomized trials included in this meta-analysis excluded patients with complex fistulas, recurrent abscesses, IBD, pre-existing incontinence, or history of anorectal surgery and included patients with low fistulas.

Given the potential negative consequences of a fistulotomy, some surgeons have advocated performing a partial fistulotomy with placement of a draining seton through the remaining tract. A retrospective review evaluated the outcomes of 26 patients with low transsphincteric fistulas who underwent partial fistulotomy and then draining seton placement (23 patients were male). Postoperatively, patients who had preserved anal sphincter function underwent a staged, completion fistulotomy. This study reported that at 1 year, all 24 patients who underwent staged fistulotomy reported no fistula or abscess recurrence or incontinence, supporting the approach of temporar seton placement followed by staged fistulotomy in selected patients with a low transsphincteric fistula.\textsuperscript{76}

When a simple fistula is encountered during incision and drainage of an anorectal abscess, fistulotomy may be performed in selected patients provided that the anticipated benefit of healing outweighs the potential risk of fecal incontinence.\textsuperscript{1,4,5} However, placing a draining seton to treat a fistula discovered at the time of incision and drainage requires patients to proceed with a staged procedure to address their fistula.\textsuperscript{4,11,77}

5. Antibiotics should typically be reserved for patients with an anorectal abscess complicated by cellulitis, systemic signs of infection, or underlying immunosuppression. Grade of recommendation:
In general, administering antibiotics after performing an incision and drainage of a routine, uncomplicated anorectal abscess in a healthy patient does not improve healing or reduce the recurrence rate and is typically not recommended. However, antibiotics may be used selectively in patients with an anorectal abscess complicated by cellulitis, systemic illness, or underlying immunosuppression.4,10,13,78,79 Given the available evidence, the grade of this clinical practice guideline recommendation was changed from a 2C grade in 2016 to a 2B grade.

A retrospective study of 172 patients with “uncomplicated” anorectal abscess who underwent incision and drainage with (n = 64) or without (n = 108) subsequent oral antibiotic therapy for 5 to 7 days reported that 9% of all patients required repeat surgery related to anorectal infection, but there was no significant difference between the groups in this regard.80 Patients with surrounding cellulitis, induration, or signs of systemic sepsis who did not receive antibiotics had a 2-fold increase in the rate of recurrent abscess compared with patients who received antibiotics, although this did not meet statistical significance. The authors also concluded that routinely culturing abscesses does not affect management or outcomes.80

A 2017 study evaluated the impact of postoperative antibiotics on fistula formation after incision and drainage of an anorectal abscess. In this single-blinded, randomized trial by Ghahramani and colleagues,81 307 patients were treated with incision and drainage with or without a 7-day postoperative course of ciprofloxacin and metronidazole. At 3-month follow-up, 14% of patients in the antibiotic treatment group developed an anal fistula versus 30% in the control group (p < 0.001). Contrary to this study, Sözener et al13 studied 334 patients in a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blinded multicenter trial who showed no protective effect of antibiotics with regard to anal fistula formation.

Although routinely culturing anorectal abscesses is not considered clinically useful, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* has been reported in up to 33% of patients.80,82,83 When methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* is isolated from an anorectal abscess, a combination of abscess drainage and antibiotics directed against the organism is typically recommended for patients with systemic signs of sepsis, leukocytosis, or leukopenia.84 Microbial cultures should also be considered in cases of recurrent infection or nonhealing wounds.80

Data suggest that antibiotics play an important role in treatment for neutropenic or otherwise immunosuppressed patients with an anorectal abscess.85-87 Although patients with a higher absolute neutrophil count (ie, >1000/mm³) and fluctuance on examination typically have high resolution rates with incision and drainage, immunosuppressed patients with lower absolute neutrophil counts and lack of fluctuance on examination may initially be treated with antibiotics alone.88-90 Patients with underlying HIV infection with concomitant or atypical infections, including tuberculosis, may also benefit from wound culture and targeted antibiotic treatment.89

**Anal Fistula**

The primary goals of operative treatment for fistula-in-ano are to obliterate the internal opening and associated epithelialized tracts and to preserve anal sphincter function. Given that no single technique is appropriate for managing all fistulas, treatment must consider the cause and anatomy of the fistula tract, the degree of symptoms, patients’ comorbidities, and surgeons’ experience and preference recognizing the interplay between the extent of operative sphincter division and the risks of healing issues, recurrence, and poor functional outcome.

6. **Patients with a simple fistula-in-ano and normal anal sphincter function may be treated with lay-open fistulotomy. Grade of recommendation: strong recommendation based on moderate-quality evidence, 1B.**

Primary fistulotomy is associated with high patient satisfaction and fistula resolution rates (more than 90%).11,91,92 Factors related to recurrence after fistulotomy include branching of fistulas, failure to accurately identify the internal opening, and fistulas associated with Crohn’s disease.93,94 Multiple prospective, multicenter studies indicate that when fistulotomy is used for simple, low-lying anal fistulas (ie, involving less than one-third of the external anal sphincter), the risk of clinically significant fecal incontinence is minimal in appropriately selected patients.14,91 A multicenter, retrospective study including 537 patients with low fistulas (defined by the authors as fistulas limited to the lower one-third of the anal sphincter complex or not involving the sphincter muscles at all) who underwent fistulotomy reported a 28% incidence of major postprocedural fecal incontinence.95 The retrospective methodology used in this study and the lack of preoperative continence evaluation may have influenced the reported outcomes.

Fistulotomy for high-lying or otherwise complex fistulas may result in significant postoperative incontinence in 10% to 40% of patients.74,93,96 Risk factors for postoperative anal sphincter dysfunction after fistulotomy include preoperative fecal incontinence, recurrent fistula, female sex, complex fistulas, and previous anorectal surgery.93,96,97 Women with anterior fistulas or who may have occult sphincter damage from previous birthing trauma are also at risk for sphincter dysfunction after fistulotomy. Interventions other than fistulotomy are generally recommended in patients with the above-mentioned risk factors to preserve function.
Multiple strategies to accelerate wound healing after fistulotomy have been studied. Four randomized controlled trials comparing fistulotomy with and without marsupialization found that marsupialization resulted in less postoperative bleeding and improved wound healing.\textsuperscript{96–101} Additionally, topical ointments, such as 10% sucralfate and 2% phenytoin, applied to the fistulotomy site have been associated with decreased postoperative pain and improved healing compared to placebo.\textsuperscript{102,103}

Fistulotomy, in which the tract is cored out rather than laid open, has been compared to fistulotomy. A randomized controlled trial published in 1985 (n = 47) found that fistulotomy patients had longer healing times, larger defects, and a higher risk of fecal incontinence compared to patients who underwent fistulotomy but had comparable fistula recurrence rates.\textsuperscript{104} A meta-analysis of 6 randomized controlled trials did not offer conclusive evidence that fistulotomy was associated with worse outcomes compared to fistulotomy in patients with low-lying fistulas.\textsuperscript{105}

7. Fistula-in-ano may be treated with endorectal advancement flap. Grade of recommendation: strong recommendation based on moderate-quality evidence, 1B.

Endorectal advancement flap procedures consist of curettage of the fistula tract, suture closure of the internal opening, and covering the internal opening with a mobilized segment of rectum. Retrospective series, small clinical trials, and a meta-analysis report healing in 66% to 87% of patients after initial endorectal advancement flap for cryptoglandular fistula.\textsuperscript{106–111} Endorectal advancement flap repeated after a failed flap procedure or performed after other failed initial approaches did not offer conclusive evidence that fistulotomy was associated with worse outcomes compared to fistulotomy in patients with low-lying fistulas.\textsuperscript{106,110,112}

Factors associated with endorectal advancement flap failure include history of pelvic radiation therapy, underlying Crohn’s disease, active proctitis, history of abscess drainage, RVF, smoking, malignancy, obesity, and having had more than 1 previous attempt at repair.\textsuperscript{18,93,108,113–119} A diverting stoma has not been shown to improve outcomes after endorectal advancement flap and is not typically recommended.\textsuperscript{18,109}

From a technical standpoint, although care is taken not to injure the anal sphincter with this approach, internal anal sphincter fibers may be included in the flap to preserve blood flow, and mild-to-moderate incontinence has been reported with concomitant decreased manometric resting and squeeze pressures in up to 35% of patients.\textsuperscript{110,120,121} Endorectal advancement flaps in the posterior position, especially in men with deep buttocks, can be technically challenging. In patients with fistulas with an internal opening distal to the dentate line, endorectal advancement flap may result in mucosal ectropion; other approaches should be considered in these circumstances.

8. Transsphincteric fistulas may be treated with ligation of the intersphincteric fistula tract (LIFT) procedure. Grade of recommendation: strong recommendation based on moderate-quality evidence, 1B.

The LIFT procedure involves suture ligation and division of a fistula tract in the intersphincteric plane.\textsuperscript{122} A draining seton may be used before the LIFT procedure to allow for fibrosis of the tract that may facilitate the procedure, but this has not been shown to affect the success rate of the LIFT procedure.\textsuperscript{123} A meta-analysis of 1378 LIFT procedures from 26 studies demonstrated an overall success rate of 76%, an overall complication rate of 14%, and a fecal incontinence rate of 1.4%.\textsuperscript{124} In this study, risk factors for failure included horseshoe anatomy, Crohn’s disease fistulas, and history of fistula surgery. Other studies evaluating long-term LIFT outcomes have demonstrated lower rates of primary healing, ranging from 42% to 62%\textsuperscript{122–128}; however, the LIFT procedure has been associated with significant rates of secondary healing after surgical re-intervention (typically fistulotomy for an intersphincteric recurrence) ranging from 77% to 86%.\textsuperscript{122,129,130}

Modifications of the LIFT procedure, including excising the lateral aspect of the tract, incorporating a fistula plug or biologic mesh interposition, or using video-assisted techniques, have been described and, in some studies, are associated with improved healing rates compared to the standard LIFT. However, the evidence evaluating these techniques is limited to small studies and such modifications to the standard LIFT technique are typically not recommended.\textsuperscript{125,130–134}

9. A cutting seton may be used selectively in the management of complex cryptoglandular anal fistulas. Grade of recommendation: weak recommendation based on low-quality evidence, 2C.

Complex anal fistulas are often treated initially by placing a draining seton to control the local sepsis, followed by a staged, definitive procedure to eradicate the fistula.\textsuperscript{131} Healing rates under these circumstances range from 62% to 100%, depending on the type of definitive operation used.\textsuperscript{131,135} Alternatively, a cutting seton may be left in place and tightened at intervals, gradually dividing the fistula and any involved anal sphincter.\textsuperscript{135} Although not a sphincter-sparing procedure, placement of cutting setons was historically performed when the risk of fecal incontinence from a lay-open fistulotomy was considered to be prohibitively high. Given the available evidence, the grade of this clinical practice guideline recommendation was changed from a 2B grade in 2016 to a 2C grade.

A retrospective study including 121 patients with either low-lying or complex fistulas treated with a cutting seton by a single surgeon showed a 98% fistula healing rate\textsuperscript{136} and only 8 patients (7%) developed incontinence. Other retrospective studies evaluating cutting setons for
transsphincteric and other complex cryptoglandular fistulas have similarly demonstrated high rates of fistula healing (~90%) with preservation of anal sphincter function in the majority of patients.\textsuperscript{136,137} Although these results seem promising, an earlier review that pooled 37 studies and included 1460 patients who underwent a cutting seton procedure reported a wide range of postoperative fecal incontinence (0%–67%) and variable functional outcomes depending on the type of fistula tract encountered and the specific definition of fecal incontinence used.\textsuperscript{138} Although studies suggest that a cutting seton is efficacious and safe for the treatment of anal fistulas, especially complex fistulas, this technique can result in functional impairment and should be used in carefully selected patients.

10. The anal fistula plug and fibrin glue are relatively ineffective treatments for fistula-in-ano. Grade of recommendation: strong recommendation based on moderate-quality evidence, 1B.

The bioprosthetic anal fistula plug, an acellular collagen matrix used to close the internal fistula opening, provides a scaffold for native tissue in-growth to obliterate a fistula tract. Although early data demonstrated 70% to 100% success with the plug,\textsuperscript{139,140} more recently published outcomes have been less encouraging with healing rates of 50% or less.\textsuperscript{141–147} Early plug failure, typically attributed to localized sepsis or plug dislodgement, occurred in 4% to 41% of cases in a 2016 systematic review.\textsuperscript{146} Plug failure is reported to be more common in patients with Crohn’s disease, anovaginal fistula, or recurrent fistula and in active smokers.\textsuperscript{148}

In terms of using fibrin glue to treat fistulas, despite historical data with encouraging results,\textsuperscript{142} usage of fibrin glue injection for treating anal fistulas has decreased in popularity because of disappointing contemporary data.\textsuperscript{150–157} In a 2019 randomized controlled double-blind trial, only 10 of 24 patients (41%) in the fibrin glue treatment arm had complete fistula healing.\textsuperscript{158} In a retrospective review of 462 patients who underwent sphincter-preserving surgery for cryptoglandular anal fistula between 2005 and 2015, the use of an anal fistula plug (associated with healing rate of 24%) and fibrin glue (associated with healing rate of 18%) decreased significantly over the interval and the overall fistula healing rate increased significantly from 32% to 64%.\textsuperscript{159} Despite the generally poor healing rates associated with fistula plugs and fibrin glue, the possibility of success coupled with the sphincter-preserving nature of these approaches have allowed for their continued albeit selective use. Given the available evidence regarding the anal fistula plug and fibrin glue, this clinical practice guideline recommendation grade has been changed from a 2B grade in 2016 to a 1B grade.

11. Minimally invasive approaches to treat fistula-in-ano that use endoscopic or laser closure techniques have reasonable short-term healing rates but unknown long-term fistula healing and recurrence rates. Grade of recommendation: weak recommendation based on low-quality evidence, 2C.

Minimally invasive techniques to treat anal fistulas have been studied during the past 2 decades to develop approaches with improved outcomes compared to more conventional fistula operations. These techniques, described in small, single-institution series with limited follow-up and with various degrees of industry support, include VAAFT, FiLaC, and endoscopic clipping using an OTSC device. These approaches were not specifically addressed in the 2016 clinical practice guideline, but given the evolving literature evaluating these techniques, the relevant evidence is reviewed in the following.

The majority of institutional experiences with VAAFT have been preliminary. This technique involves fistuloscopy through the external opening to identify the internal opening, closure of the internal orifice with sutures, clips, or a stapling device, and selective debridement or obliteration of the fistula tract. Reported healing rates after VAAFT range from 71% to 85% with follow-up intervals typically <12 months and with minor or no fecal incontinence reported.\textsuperscript{160–163} FiLaC uses a radially emitting laser probe that, when passed along the tract, traumatizes the epithelium and, in theory, obliterates the fistula tract. In a recent meta-analysis, Elfeki and colleagues\textsuperscript{164} reviewed 7 case series and comparative studies involving 454 patients (69% had transsphincteric fistulas and 35% had recurrent fistulas) who underwent FiLaC. At a median follow-up of 24 months, 65% of patients were healed, 4% experienced a complication, and the mean rate of incontinence was 1%.

Closure of the internal opening of a fistula tract has also been described using an OTSC device. This approach, frequently combined with a fistulotomy, places a superelastic nitinol clip over the internal fistula opening with the aid of a transanal applicator. Outcomes of this technique have been reported in small, single-institution reviews that have shown primary healing rates of 79% to 90% with limited follow-up.\textsuperscript{165,166} Clip removal to relieve pain has been required in a minority of patients. In a German series including 55 transsphincteric, 38 suprasphincteric, 2 extrasphincteric, and 5 RVFs, the healing rate at 6 months for first-time fistula therapy was 79%, whereas patients with recurrent fistulas had a success rate of 26%.\textsuperscript{166}

**Rectovaginal Fistula**

The initial evaluation of patients with RVFs should assess the underlying cause such as obstetric trauma, Crohn’s disease, cryptoglandular infection, or malignancy. Examination under anesthesia and radiologic assessment are often necessary to define the anatomy of the fistula tract and to evaluate the tissues involved. As the status of
the anal sphincter complex plays an integral role in the choice of repair, assessment of anal sphincter anatomy and function are key steps in the evaluation of patients with RVFs.22,27,29,167–172 Although not one technique of repair is appropriate for all RVFs, the available evidence can help determine effective treatment strategies. Fibrin glue therapy and the use of a plug are not included in the following guidelines because the success of these interventions has proven prohibitively poor for RVFs.167,168

12. Nonoperative management is typically recommended for the initial care of obstetrical rectovaginal fistula and may also be considered for other benign and minimally symptomatic fistulas. Grade of recommendation: weak recommendation based on low-quality evidence, 2C.

In most cases, the initial management of RVFs, especially those of obstetric cause, is nonoperative22,43 and may include baths, wound care, debridement as needed, antibiotics in cases of infection, and stool-bulking fiber supplements for a period usually of 3 to 6 months.10 The aim of this approach is to resolve acute inflammation and infection. Furthermore, data from an older meta-analysis by Homsi et al,169 and more recent studies by Oakley et al170 and Lo et al,171 demonstrate that a nonoperative approach under these circumstances may result in healing rates ranging from 52% to 66%. Benign, minimally symptomatic RVFs unrelated to obstetrical injury may also be successfully managed with nonoperative therapy, although follow-up is limited.170

13. A draining seton may facilitate resolution of acute inflammation or infection associated with rectovaginal fistulas. Grade of recommendation: strong recommendation based on low-quality evidence, 1C.

A draining seton may be helpful in treating or preventing the formation of an abscess within the rectovaginal septum, particularly in patients with a narrow fistula, a small-diameter vaginal side opening, or multiple tracts.29,119,167,168 Setons may also provide long-term symptomatic relief for patients who are poor candidates for definitive repair. Patients with an active inflammatory or neoplastic process that requires further treatment before definitive repair may also benefit from seton placement. In patients who are considered candidates for definitive repair, draining setons may relieve acute inflammation, edema, and infection and may improve the likelihood of success of subsequent definitive fistula repair.119,141,168,172

14. Endorectal advancement flap with or without sphincteroplasty is the procedure of choice for most patients with a rectovaginal fistula. Grade of recommendation: strong recommendation based on low-quality evidence, 1C.

The success of RVF repair with endorectal advancement flap ranges from 41% to 78% depending on the cause of fistula, operative technique, and definition of fistula healing used.167,168,173,174 Factors associated with failure include endosonographic and manometric abnormalities of the anal sphincter complex, Crohn’s disease, previous pelvic radiation, and recurrent fistula.22,109,119,175 Although a history of failed attempts at fistula repair increases the risk for endorectal advancement flap failure, success with repeat flaps has been reported in 55% to 93% of patients.22,125,174 Although a diverting stoma has not been shown to significantly improve the outcomes of patients undergoing endorectal advancement flap for RVF, diversion should be considered on an individual case basis.109,119,176

The results of an endorectal advancement flap alone for patients with RVF and fecal incontinence with a known sphincter defect are historically poor. In a retrospective study by Tsang et al177 that included 52 patients with “simple” obstetrical RVFs who underwent 62 procedures (48% of the patients had varying degrees of fecal incontinence), healing was reported in 11 of 27 patients (41%) who underwent endorectal advancement flap and in 28 of 35 patients (80%) who underwent sphincteroplasty ± levatorplasty. Higher rates of fistula healing (more than 80%) with sphincteroplasty under these circumstances also have been reported by others compared to endorectal advancement flap alone.22,109,167

In the setting of a low RVF, an endorectal advancement flap may cause mucosal ectropion. Under these circumstances, an alternative flap harvested from the anoderm and perianal skin instead of the rectum should be considered. This technique, combined with sphincteroplasty, was used by Chew and Rieger176 in 7 consecutive patients with obstetrical low RVFs and resulted in 100% healing at a mean follow-up of 24 months.

15. Episioproctotomy may be used to repair obstetrical or cryptoglandular rectovaginal fistulas in patients with anal sphincter defects. Grade of recommendation: strong recommendation based on low-quality evidence, 1C.

Episioproctotomy is a transperineal technique to repair RVFs that involves division of various degrees of the anterior anal sphincter complex and rectovaginal septum in patients with anal sphincter defects. This approach has been associated with fistula healing in the range of 78% to 100% of patients and has demonstrated acceptable functional outcomes.25,173,176,178–181 Episioproctotomy differs from sphincteroplasty in terms of the amount of perineal skin, external anal sphincter, and rectovaginal septum that needs to be divided to reach and repair the RVF. A 2007 report by Hull et al178 retrospectively reviewed the results of episioproctotomy in 42 patients with mostly obstetrical RVFs associated with significant anterior anal sphincter defects and reported recurrent fistulas in only 11 patients (26%). Although 23 patients (55%) had a stoma at the time of episioproctotomy, fecal diversion was not
The use of a gracilis muscle flap for the treatment of obstetrical fistulas, and none of the patients reported fecal incontinence, with follow-up ranging from 6 months to 8 years. Hull et al., in another retrospective analysis of 50 patients with obstetrical or cryptoglandular RVFs repaired by episiotomy, reported fistula healing in 39 patients (78%) and “rare” postoperative fecal incontinence in 46 patients (92%) with a median follow-up of 49 months. Of the 36 patients (72%) who had a stoma before episiotomy, most underwent stoma closure within 3 months of their fistula repair (median, 3.4 mo). Furthermore, of the 25 patients (50%) with preoperative fecal incontinence, only 4 patients (8%) experienced postoperative fecal incontinence. In a cohort of 50 patients who underwent episiotomy, El-Gazzaz et al. reported 39 patients (78%) who were healed after a mean follow-up of 46 months. In this study, outcomes were determined by telephone interviews and mailed standardized questionnaires. Temporary fecal diversion was performed in 36 of these patients (72%) who had recurrent fistula or subjective extensive scarring.

16. A gracilis muscle or bulbocavernosus (Martius) flap is typically recommended for recurrent or otherwise complex rectovaginal fistula. Grade of recommendation: strong recommendation based on low-quality evidence, 1C.

The use of a gracilis muscle flap for the treatment of recurrent RVF has been mainly reported in small retrospective studies with limited follow-up. One of the larger series evaluating gracilis muscle flap in this setting, by Pinto et al. demonstrated fistula healing in 19 of 24 patients (79%). Other retrospective studies have reported healing rates ranging from 50% to 92%. Two series reported postoperative complication rates, ranging between 28% and 47%, and the most common complications included surgical site infection, thigh numbness, and hematoma. Picciariello and colleagues reported on quality of life after graciloplasty and noted that there was an improvement in 36-Item Short-Form Survey scores as well as in sexual function.

The use of a Martius (bulbocavernosus) flap for RVF repair has also been reported in small retrospective studies that included patients with various fistula causes and limited follow-up. Trompetto et al. reported on 24 patients with low RVF who underwent Martius flaps. In this study, 42% of patients had fistulas of obstetrical origin, and the overall success rate was 91% at a mean follow-up of 42 months. Pitel and colleagues, in a series of 20 consecutive patients undergoing Martius flaps (70% had fecal diversion), reported minor complications in 3 patients (15%) and healing in 13 patients (65%) at a mean follow-up of 35 months. In a series from Songne et al., which included 14 patients with RVF (6 had Crohn’s disease), a diverting ostomy was used in all patients, and healing occurred in 13 patients (93%). In 2 additional studies where the Martius flap was used to treat patients with radiation-related RVFs, healing was observed in 11 of 12 and 13 of 14 patients.

Although supporting evidence is lacking, a diverting ostomy is generally recommended as an adjunct to Martius and gracilis muscle flap repairs (fecal diversion has been reported in 63% to 100% of these patients). However, Oakley et al. retrospectively reviewed the outcomes of 176 patients with RVFs treated at multiple centers and reported an 81% fistula healing rate using a variety of repairs (including 9 Martius flaps). In this study, a nonspecified “low rate” of stoma formation was mainly attributed to fistula repairs being performed by urogynecologists. Another small retrospective study, including 16 women with RVFs treated with Martius flaps, used selective fecal diversion in 38% of patients and reported an overall success rate of 94% at a mean follow-up of 1.5 years. Meanwhile, Milito et al. also reported a small series of patients with RVF because of Crohn’s disease who underwent Martius flaps without a covering stoma and reported a 100% success rate at a mean follow-up of 18 months.

17. Rectovaginal fistulas that result from colorectal anastomotic complications often require a transabdominal approach for repair. Grade of recommendation: strong recommendation based on low-quality evidence, 1C.

In earlier studies, fistulization of a colorectal anastomosis to the vagina was reported to occur in up to 2.2% of cases, however, more recent publications report higher rates of RVF after failed anastomoses. Under these circumstances, fecal diversion is generally recommended as the initial step to facilitate resolution of the acute inflammation and associated symptoms; however, in selected cases with an immediate or early postoperative RVF, reoperation and repeat colorectal anastomosis may be preferable. Fistula healing with diversion alone has also been reported. In 2005, Kosugi et al. reported that 6 of 16 patients (37%) with a RVF from a failed colorectal anastomosis healed with diversion alone. In this retrospective series, patients with persistent fistulas were treated with repeat colorectal anastomosis, endorectal advancement flap, or a transperineal interposition flap.

18. Completion proctectomy with or without colonic pull-through or coloanal anastomosis may be required to treat radiation-related or recurrent complex rectovaginal fistula. Grade of recommendation: weak recommendation based on low-quality evidence, 2C.

Recurrent, complex RVFs and fistulas that develop in the setting of pelvic radiation may be amenable to repair with a muscle flap interposition as described previously.
or proctectomy with primary or staged coloanal anastomosis.\textsuperscript{199} A variation of conventional proctectomy may be used under these circumstances (the sleeve excision technique) that include resection of the rectum proximal to the fistula tract, mucosectomy of the rectum at and distal to the fistula, pull-through of the healthy colon through the remaining muscular tube of the rectum, and creation of a sutured coloanal anastomosis. Nowacki and colleagues\textsuperscript{202,203} described this technique in women with RVF secondary to pelvic radiation and reported healing in 11 of 14 patients (79%) and reported that the functional results were “good” in all of the patients who healed. In a more recent retrospective study by Patsouras et al,\textsuperscript{204} this technique was performed in 34 patients and early postoperative complications were reported in 51% of patients and late postoperative complications were reported in 32% of patients. In this study, fistula healing occurred in 75% of patients and 18 of 25 patients (72%) surveyed reported having normal postoperative fecal continence.

In the setting of proctectomy, a primary or staged (ie, Turnbull-Cutait procedure) coloanal anastomosis may be used to restore continuity of the bowel. In a retrospective comparison of 67 patients who underwent an operation for a variety of indications (only 3 patients had RVF), the Turnbull-Cutait procedure resulted in decreased rates of anastomotic leak (3% vs 7%) and pelvic abscess (0% vs 5%) compared to primary coloanal anastomosis, although functional outcomes were similar.\textsuperscript{199} In a study by Corte et al\textsuperscript{190} of 79 patients with RVF (43% secondary to Crohn’s disease), 19 patients underwent resection with primary (n = 8) or delayed (n = 11) coloanal anastomosis, and the overall success rate was 91%. In 2016, Karakayali et al\textsuperscript{205} reported on 10 patients with RVF secondary to pelvic radiation who underwent pull-through and straight coloanal anastomosis with diverting loop ileostomy and all patients healed without an anastomatic leak. In this study, the fecal incontinence quality-of-life index and depression, lifestyle, and embarrassment scores improved after surgery, and there were no significant changes in reported continence.

Although many patients with RVF report symptomatic relief after a diverting stoma that leaves the affected rectum in situ, Zhong et al\textsuperscript{206} noted significantly improved quality of life after patients underwent proctectomy and diverting stoma (n = 10) compared to stoma alone (n = 16) in the setting of RVF related to pelvic radiation. In this study, the proctectomy group had significantly less tenesmus and anal discharge than the colostomy alone group at 6 and 12 months.

**Anorectal Fistula Associated With Crohn’s Disease**

19. Anorectal fistula associated with Crohn’s disease is typically managed with a combination of surgical and medical approaches. Grade of recommendation: strong recommendation based on moderate-quality evidence, 1B.

The management of fistulizing anorectal Crohn’s disease typically involves a multidisciplinary approach to control infection and optimize the medical management of the underlying Crohn’s disease. Given the evolving evidence supporting the multidisciplinary management of patients with fistulizing Crohn’s disease, this practice recommendation, which was not included in the 2016 guidelines, was added to the clinical practice guideline.

The mainstay of medical management of anorectal Crohn’s disease is biological therapy.\textsuperscript{36,207–210} There are limited data regarding anorectal fistula healing with immunosuppressants such as azathioprine, 6-mercaptopurine, cyclosporine, and tacrolimus.\textsuperscript{211,212} Randomized controlled trials have shown initial fistula healing rates of 38% to 55% in patients treated with infliximab,\textsuperscript{36} with long-term healing occurring in 39% of patients.\textsuperscript{209} Although 2 randomized trials showed no benefit of adalimumab over placebo in this setting,\textsuperscript{210,213} a subsequent randomized double-blind trial demonstrated 33% healing in the adalimumab group versus 13% in the placebo group (p < 0.05).\textsuperscript{214} Evidence supporting the use of certolizumab is less compelling. However, the Pegylated Antibody Fragment Evaluation in Crohn’s Disease: Safety and Efficacy trial showed that in 36% of patients, anal fistulas healed after treatment with certolizumab compared with only 17% of patients who were treated with placebo (p = 0.03); when the criterion for success was defined as 50% or more closure at 2 consecutive visits ≥3 weeks apart, no difference was found between the 2 groups.\textsuperscript{215} In many instances, medical therapy is combined, at least initially, with a draining seton.\textsuperscript{216}

In terms of operative solutions, the decision to perform definitive fistula surgery in selected patients with anorectal Crohn’s fistula must be individualized and should consider the severity of symptoms, status of infection, fistula tract anatomy, presence of a stricture, and status of Crohn’s disease (especially the presence of proctitis). Following fistula surgery, patients with Crohn’s disease require additional interventions for nonhealing wounds or recurrent fistula more commonly than patients with cryptoglandular fistula.\textsuperscript{17,172} In patients who do not require drainage, antibiotic therapy alone has been shown to be effective in fistulizing Crohn’s disease; treatment with metronidazole and fluoroquinolones has demonstrated improvement in symptoms (at least temporarily) in more than 90% of patients.\textsuperscript{217} Despite medical and surgical management, patients with Crohn’s disease with severe refractory anorectal fistulizing disease may ultimately require proctectomy and permanent fecal diversion.\textsuperscript{218–221}

20. Asymptomatic fistulas in patients with Crohn’s disease typically do not require surgical treatment. Grade of recommendation: strong recommendation based on low-quality evidence, 1C.
Patients with Crohn's disease who present with an asymptomatic fistula secondary to Crohn's disease or a cryptoglandular infection without signs of local sepsis do not require surgical intervention as these tracts may remain quiescent for extended periods of time. Under these circumstances, proceeding with surgery and risking postoperative morbidity including nonhealing wounds or incontinence are not typically recommended.222

21. Draining setons are typically useful in the multimodality therapy of fistulizing anorectal Crohn's disease and may be used for long-term disease control. Grade of recommendation: strong recommendation based on moderate-quality evidence, 1B.

For anorectal fistulas associated with Crohn's disease, long-term draining setons (destination setons) can successfully resolve inflammation and prevent anorectal sepsis by maintaining the external opening and allowing for drainage.223–226 However, setons can be associated with persistent seepage, a chronic and bothersome symptom for patients, and recurrent sepsis can occur in more than 20% of patients.216,227,228 Given the observational and randomized evidence available regarding this topic, this recommendation grade was changed from a 1C grade in the 2016 clinical practice guideline to a 1B grade.

In a retrospective study of 32 consecutive patients treated with infliximab for anorectal Crohn's disease, patients who also underwent seton placement (n = 9) had a lower fistula recurrence rate (44% vs 79%; \( p < 0.001 \)) at 3 months and longer time to recurrence (13.5 vs 3.6 months; \( p < 0.001 \)).227 A systematic review and meta-analysis of 10 studies, including 4 randomized controlled trials, concluded that anti-TNF therapy in combination with temporary seton placement was likely beneficial for fistula healing.228 Although a meta-analysis of the 4 randomized controlled trials that compared anti-TNF therapy with placebo showed no difference in complete or partial fistula healing, subgroup analysis of the 2 trials with follow-up of >4 weeks demonstrated increased rates of complete fistula healing (46% vs 13%, \( p = 0.003 \) and 30% vs 13%, \( p = 0.03 \)).

Multimodal treatment with biologic agents and seton drainage has also been associated with improved fistula healing rates.229,230 In addition, combination therapy has been shown to be more cost-effective and use fewer overall resources compared to anti-TNF therapy alone.231 The timing of initiating infliximab therapy, whether within 30 days of seton placement or >30 days after surgery, has not been shown to influence healing rates.232,233

The optimal timing of seton removal in patients receiving anti-TNF therapy is also not clear. In the multicenter, randomized controlled A Crohn's Disease Clinical Trial Evaluating Infliximab in a New Long-Term Treatment Regimen in Patients with Fistulizing Crohn's Disease II trial that included 282 patients with anorectal (n = 246), rectovaginal, or enterocutaneous fistula, setons were removed within 2 weeks of starting infliximab induction therapy. At week 14, a response, defined as more than 50% reduction in the number of draining fistulas, was observed in 195 patients (69%), whereas 87 patients (31%) showed no response. Meanwhile, 46% of patients who continued on maintenance therapy showed a response at 54 weeks, and 21% of patients who initially showed no response to induction therapy showed a response at 54 weeks.234 Although this trial studied patients in whom setons were removed within 2 weeks of infliximab induction, another small prospective study of 21 patients found that 85% of patients had resolution of fistula symptoms at 12 weeks when setons were left in place through the induction phase of infliximab.208

22. Symptomatic, simple, low anal fistulas in carefully selected patients with Crohn's disease may be treated by lay-open fistulotomy. Grade of recommendation: weak recommendation based on low-quality evidence, 2C.

Fistulotomy may be safely performed in appropriately selected patients with Crohn's disease with an uncomplicated low fistula (ie, less than 30% involvement of the external anal sphincter) in the absence of proctitis.235–237 Given the baseline incontinence that may be present in patients with anorectal fistulizing Crohn's disease (from the disease process or as a consequence of previous interventions to treat a fistula) and the likelihood of patients developing additional Crohn's disease–related fistulas in the future, preservation of sphincter muscle and function are usually of paramount concern in this setting238; proceeding with fistulotomy requires careful consideration under these circumstances.239–242 Healing rates after fistulotomy range from 62% to 100%, and 6% to 12% of patients report mild incontinence.223,224,237 However, some studies report higher rates of postoperative incontinence (up to 50%), especially in patients with active proctitis, underscoring the importance of patient selection.223 Recognizing the benefits and risks associated with fistulotomy in the setting of Crohn's disease, the grade of this recommendation has been changed from a 1C grade in the 2016 clinical practice guidelines to a 2C grade.

23. Endorectal advancement flaps and the LIFT procedure may be used to treat fistula-in-ano associated with Crohn's disease. Grade of recommendation: strong recommendation based on moderate-quality evidence, 1B.

Patients with Crohn's disease and a fistula, ideally, isolated to a single dominant tract without associated sepsis, anal stenosis, proctitis, or interfering scarring from previous anorectal disease or operations, may be considered for an operative repair. In cases in which localized sepsis is present, a draining seton is typically recommended before surgical intervention to improve the likelihood of successful fistula repair.217,235,236 In patients without signs of sepsis and with otherwise well-controlled Crohn's disease, the most commonly performed repairs
are endorectal advancement flaps and LIFT. Given the observational evidence regarding the evolving role of endorectal advancement flaps and LIFT in patients with Crohn’s disease, this recommendation grade was changed from a 2B grade in the 2016 clinical practice guideline to a 1B grade.

A systematic review including 91 patients with Crohn’s disease who underwent endorectal advancement flap reported overall fistula healing in 64% of patients (range, 33%–93%) at a median follow-up of 29 months. Incontinence was reported in 9.4% of patients after flap procedures (range, 0%–29%) and was associated with having had previous surgical repairs.18,110 Alternatively, the LIFT procedure was evaluated in a prospective study of 15 patients with Crohn’s disease with transspincteric fistulas. In this study, 10 patients (67%) remained healed 12 months after surgery, no patients reported incontinence, and quality of life was significantly improved postoperatively.243 A subsequent retrospective study of 23 consecutive patients with Crohn’s disease with transspincteric fistulas who underwent LIFT found that 11 patients (48%) healed after a median follow-up of 23 months; in patients who failed LIFT, the median time to failure was 9 months.244

24. Patients with uncontrolled symptoms from complex anorectal fistulizing Crohn’s disease may require fecal diversion or proctectomy. Grade of recommendation: strong recommendation based on low-quality evidence, 1C.

Patients with severe anorectal fistulizing Crohn’s disease who do not respond adequately to medical therapy, local surgical intervention, or long-term seton drainage may consider fecal diversion with or without proctectomy to control anorectal sepsis and improve incontinence symptoms and overall quality of life.245 Retrospective reviews evaluating diversion under these circumstances demonstrate that 64% to 81% of patients have an initial response to this approach246; however, only 26% to 50% of these patients experience sustained remission, whereas the remaining patients develop recurring or persistent refractory proctitis and/or symptoms associated with persistent fistula.221,245 Overall, 45% to 68% of patients treated with an initial fecal diversion ultimately required a proctectomy to control refractory symptoms.222,235 Concomitant colonic disease, persistent proctitis or anorectal sepsis, previous temporary fecal diversion, more than 2 previous seton placements, fecal incontinence, and anal canal stenosis are associated with the need for proctectomy and permanent fecal diversion in this setting.221,246,247 In a meta-analysis of 556 patients undergoing fecal diversion for severe refractory anorectal Crohn’s disease, 64% of patients (95% CI, 54.1–72.5) had an early clinical response after fecal diversion.246 In this study, stoma reversal was attempted in 34.5% of patients and was successful in only 17% of patients (95% CI, 11.8–22.9). Of those who underwent stoma reversal, 26.5% of patients (95% CI, 14.1–44.2) required repeat diversion because of severe clinical relapse. Overall, 42% of patients (95% CI, 32.6–51.2) required proctectomy after undergoing otherwise temporary fecal diversion. No significant difference was found in rates of restoration of bowel continuity when prebiological era (14%) and biological era patients (18%) were compared. In this study, the absence of proctitis was the most consistent factor associated with the restoration of bowel continuity.

25. Local administration of mesenchymal stem cells is a safe and effective treatment for selected patients with refractory anorectal fistulas in the setting of Crohn’s disease. Grade of recommendation: weak recommendation based on moderate-quality evidence, 2B.

Several phase I,248–253 phase II,252,254,255 and phase III256 clinical trials demonstrate the safety and efficacy of direct delivery of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) for the treatment of medically and surgically refractory fistulizing anorectal Crohn’s disease. This evolving approach to fistulizing Crohn’s disease is not widely available and was not addressed in the 2016 clinical practice guidelines, but sufficient evidence has since been amassed to warrant inclusion in these updated guidelines.

Despite the heterogeneity across study protocols using allogeneic MSCs250,253,255,256 or autologous MSCs250,252,253,257–259 derived from bone marrow254,257 or adipose tissue251–253,257,258,260 delivered with250,251,252,257,259 or without254,260 scaffolding at doses ranging from 20 million to 120 million cells,251,260,261 the only reported adverse events have been anorectal pain and abscesses.250,261 Efficacy of this approach has ranged from 50% to 83% at follow-up intervals ranging from 6 months to 1 year.251,260,261 The largest relevant phase III randomized controlled trial evaluating MSCs included 212 patients who received either placebo or 120 million MSCs and reported equivalent rates of anorectal pain and abscess in the 2 arms of the study (13% vs 11% and 12% vs 13%, respectively). In this trial, study patients had significantly improved fistula healing rates compared to placebo control patients (50% vs 34%; p = 0.02) at a 6-month follow-up.255 A meta-analysis confirmed the superior fistula healing rates with MSC treatment compared to controls.259 Two prospective studies with patients with at least 1-year (n = 131) or 4-year follow-up (n = 13) reported no recurrence of fistulizing anorectal disease after complete healing after a single injection of MSCs.261,262 Further clinical trials investigating MSCs in the setting of Crohn’s disease are underway. In addition, data are accumulating regarding the use of MSCs in cryptoglandular anorectal fistulas, which suggests MSCs are safe in this setting, but perhaps not quite as effective as in perianal Crohn’s disease. However, more trials are needed before recommendations can be made regarding the use of MSCs for cryptoglandular fistulas.263–267
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